



ACQUISITION,
TECHNOLOGY
AND LOGISTICS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3000

March 30, 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR: SEE DISTRIBUTION

ACQ FYI 04-010

SUBJECT: Implementing Systems Engineering Plans in DoD – Interim Guidance

On February 20, 2004, the Acting Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) took a major step to reinvigorate DoD Systems Engineering by signing into policy a requirement that “All programs responding to a capabilities or requirements document . . . shall develop a Systems Engineering Plan (SEP) for Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) approval in conjunction with each Milestone review.” This memorandum provides interim guidance concerning the purpose and content of these plans. I look forward to working with your representative to the new Systems Engineering Forum to capture best practices and mature this guidance over time. The SEP will be addressed more completely in future updates to the Defense Acquisition Guidebook.

The purpose of the SEP is to lay out a plan that should guide all technical aspects of an acquisition program. Program managers should establish the SEP early in the program definition phase and update it at each subsequent milestone. It is intended to be a living document, tailored to the program, and a roadmap that supports program management by defining comprehensive systems engineering activities, addressing both government and contractor technical activities and responsibilities. The SEP describes the program’s overall technical approach, including systems engineering processes; resources; and key technical tasks, activities, and events along with their metrics and success criteria. Integration or linkage with other program management control efforts such as integrated master plans, integrated master schedules, technical performance measures, and earned value management is fundamental to successful application.

There is no prescribed format for the SEP. However, it should address how systems engineering will support the translation of system capability needs into an effective, suitable product that is sustainable at an affordable cost. Specifically, a well-prepared SEP will address the integration of the technical aspects of the program with the overall program planning, systems engineering activities, and execution tracking to include:



- The systems engineering processes to be applied in the program (e.g., from a standard, a capability maturity model, or the contractor's process). Describe how the processes will be implemented and how they will be tailored to meet individual acquisition phase objectives. Describe how the SE processes will support the technical and programmatic products required of each phase.
- The system's technical baseline approach. Describe how the technical baseline will be developed, managed, and used to control system requirements, design, integration, verification, and validation. Include a discussion of metrics (e.g., technical performance measures) for the technical effort and how these metrics will be used to measure progress.
- Event-driven timing, conduct, success criteria, and expected products of technical reviews; and how technical reviews will be used to assess technical maturity, assess technical risk, and support program decisions. SEP updates shall include results of completed technical reviews.
- The integration of systems engineering into the program's integrated product teams (IPTs). Describe how systems engineering activities will be integrated within and coordinated across IPTs; how the IPTs will be organized; what SE tools they will employ; and their resources, staffing, management metrics, and integration mechanisms. Describe how systems engineering activities are integrated in the program's overall integrated schedules.

For programs where the USD(AT&L) is the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA), components shall submit the SEP to me at least 30 days before the scheduled Defense Acquisition Board (DAB) milestone review. My staff and I will evaluate each program's SEP in preparation for the DAB review and in support of Defense Systems' other acquisition and assessment support activities. I encourage all MDAs to take similar actions.

The referenced SEP policy is already in effect, so I urge you to distribute this guidance memorandum to your Program Executive Officers, Program Managers, and/or Systems Commanders. For additional clarification or guidance on SEP tailoring, please contact Mr. Mark Schaeffer, Director, Systems Engineering, (703) 695-7417, mark.schaeffer@osd.mil, or Mr. Bob Skalamera, Deputy Director, Systems Engineering (Enterprise Development), (703) 695-2300, robert.skalamera@osd.mil.



Glenn F. Lamartin
Director, Defense Systems

DISTRIBUTION:

SERVICE ACQUISITION EXECUTIVES

DOD EXECUTIVE AGENT FOR SPACE

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (NETWORK &

INFORMATION INTEGRATION/CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER)

DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (INSTALLATIONS & ENVIRONMENT)

**DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (LOGISTICS & MATERIAL
READINESS)**

COMMANDER, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND

DIRECTOR, OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION

DIRECTOR, PROGRAM ANALYSIS & EVALUATION

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY

DIRECTOR, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

DIRECTOR, NATIONAL GEO-SPATIAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

DIRECTOR, NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY

DIRECTOR, ACQUISITION RESOURCES & ANALYSIS

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE PROCUREMENT & ACQUISITION POLICY

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE RESEARCH & ENGINEERING

**DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR FORCE STRUCTURE, RESOURCES &
ASSESSMENT – J8**



ACQUISITION,
TECHNOLOGY
AND LOGISTICS

THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3010 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3010

FEB 20 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR: SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Policy for Systems Engineering in DoD

Application of rigorous systems engineering discipline is paramount to the Department's ability to meet the challenge of developing and maintaining needed warfighting capability. This is especially true as we strive to integrate increasingly complex systems in a family-of-systems, system-of-systems, net-centric warfare context. Systems engineering provides the integrating technical processes to define and balance system performance, cost, schedule, and risk. It must be embedded in program planning and performed across the entire acquisition life cycle.

Toward that end, I am establishing the following policy, effective immediately and to be included in the next revision of the DoD 5000 series acquisition documents:

Systems Engineering (SE). All programs responding to a capabilities or requirements document, regardless of acquisition category, shall apply a robust SE approach that balances total system performance and total ownership costs within the family-of-systems, systems-of-systems context. Programs shall develop a Systems Engineering Plan (SEP) for Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) approval in conjunction with each Milestone review, and integrated with the Acquisition Strategy. This plan shall describe the program's overall technical approach, including processes, resources, metrics, and applicable performance incentives. It shall also detail the timing, conduct, and success criteria of technical reviews.

In support of the above policy, the Director, Defense Systems shall:

- a. Identify the requirement for a SEP in DODI 5000.2, and provide specific content guidance tailorable by the MDA in the Defense Acquisition Guidebook.
- b. Assess the adequacy of current Department-level SE related policies, processes, practices, guidance, tools, and education and training and recommend to me necessary changes.




c. Establish a senior-level SE forum with participation from the Military Departments, and appropriate defense agencies, as a means to collaborate and leverage activities within the components and to provide a forum to institutionalize SE discipline across the Department. A goal of this forum will be extending the SE process to address family-of systems, system-of-systems capability-based acquisition.

d. For programs where I am the MDA, review each program's SEP as part of the preparation for Defense Acquisition Board Milestone Reviews (DAB) and other acquisition reviews, provide me with a recommendation on the program's readiness to proceed during the DAB. Together with other members of the OSD staff, lead program support assessments to identify and help resolve issues to ensure program success.

To assist in these efforts, each Component Acquisition Executive and defense agency with acquisition responsibilities will, within 90 days, provide the Director, Defense Systems its approach and recommendations on how we can ensure that application of sound systems engineering discipline is an integral part of overall program planning, management, and execution within both DoD and defense industry. Further, I direct each Component Acquisition Executive and those defense agencies with acquisition responsibilities to provide, within 30 days, a flag officer or Senior Executive Service-level representative to participate in the Director, Defense Systems-led systems engineering forum. The first such forum will be held within 60 days.

I need your assistance to ensure we drive good systems engineering processes and practices back into the way we do business. We can accomplish this goal by establishing clear policies, reinvigorating our training, developing effective tools, and using and institutionalizing best practices, applying performance incentives, and making systems engineering an important consideration during source selections and throughout contract execution. Collectively these actions will reinvigorate our acquisition community - including our industry partners - thus assuring affordable, supportable, and above all, *capable solutions for the warfighter.*


Michael W. Wynne
Acting

DISTRIBUTION:

SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (NETWORKS &
INFORMATION INTEGRATION/CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER)
GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
COMMANDER, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND
DIRECTOR, ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT
DIRECTOR, PROGRAM ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY
DIRECTOR, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY
DIRECTOR, NATIONAL GEO-SPATIAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
DIRECTOR, NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
DIRECTOR, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION